



THE SHABBOS WEEKLY

HALACHA SERIES ON HILCHOS SHABBOS

Published by  based on the shiurim given by

RABBI DOVID OSTROFF shlita

developed from the Chabura of the Shulchan Aruch Project

A Project of The Shema Yisrael Torah Network

These halachos were shown by Rabbi Ostroff to HaGaon HaRau Moshe Sternbuch, shlita



Parshas Devarim 5767

July 20, '07
Volume VI Issue 34

Deriving benefit from melachos performed on Shabbos

May one benefit from a melacha performed on Shabbos. For example, someone switched on a light in a room, may one read with that light. A sefer was carried through a carmelis or a reshus harabim, may one read that sefer on Shabbos?

To answer this question, we are required to familiarize ourselves with the *sugya* in the *gemora*. There is a famous *machlokes* between R' Me'ir, R' Yehuda and R' Yochanan Hasandlar regarding eating food that was cooked on Shabbos. The *Beis Yosef*, in the beginning of *simon* 318 mentions the places in *Shas* where one can find this *machlokes*.

	B'shogaig		B'maizid	
	For the cook	For others	For the cook	For others
R' Me'ir	Muter on Shabbos	Muter on Shabbos	Muter after Shabbos	Muter after Shabbos
R' Yehuda	Muter after Shabbos	Muter after Shabbos	Ossur forever	Muter after Shabbos
R' Yochanan Hasandlar	Ossur forever	Muter after Shabbos	Ossur forever	Ossur forever

Notice that where the former opinion leaves off, the next opinion begins! I.e. the *maizid* of R' Me'ir is the *shogaig* of R' Yehuda.

Is the prohibition of biblical nature or rabbinic?

It is rabbinic, i.e. it is *ossur mid'oraisso* to cook on Shabbos but deriving benefit is only an *issur d'rabanan*.

Can you provide examples based on the above machlokes?

Someone walked into a room on Friday night and absentmindedly switched on the light. His action is deemed a *shogeig* – unintentional, because he momentarily forgot that it was Shabbos.

According to R' Me'ir one may benefit from this light on Shabbos, as *Chazal* did not impose a penalty when the action was *b'shogeig*. According to R' Yehuda one may not benefit from this light on Shabbos.

Is the halacha in accordance with R' Me'ir or R' Yehuda?

This too is a *machlokes*. The *Beis Yosef* writes that the *Rif*, *Rambam*, *Ba'al Halachos Gedolos*, *She'iltos*, *Ramban* and *Rosh* ruled in accordance with R' Yehuda. The *Mechaber*¹ only cites the opinion of R' Yehuda.

On the other hand *Tosefos* and *Sefer haT'ruma* rule in accordance with R' Me'ir. The *Vilna Ga'on* *paskens* according to *Tosefos*.

The *Mishna Berura*² concludes that the *halacha* is like R' Yehuda but if necessary one may rely on R' Me'ir, when done *b'shogeig*

Consequently, one may not benefit from the light on Shabbos, even though it was switched on unintentionally, because *halacha* is with R' Yehuda. If necessary, there is room to rely on R'

¹ *Simon* 318:1.

² *Simon* 318:7.

Me'ir and benefit from the light, but a Rav must be asked as to the definition of "necessary". Sephardim must be more stringent because the *Mechaber* does not present another opinion other than R' Yehuda.

Can we make this l'ma'ase?

Several examples intended to heighten our awareness but not intended as a *p'sak*:

- ❖ Betty peeled eggs two hours prior to the meal and went to shul. She violated a biblical *issur* of *borer* and according to *halacha* she and others may not consume the eggs that Shabbos. ³ We assume it is *b'shogeig* because she has no intention to want only violate the Shabbos, rather it is her ignorance that perpetuates this violation. A Rav should be asked.
- ❖ Moish unknowingly carried tissues in his pocket home from shul in a place without an *eiruv*. Upon arriving home he realizes that he carried tissues in a prohibited manner and enquires as to the status of the tissues. *Halacha* is that he may not blow his nose with the tissues, because they were carried home through a Shabbos violation. One might ask that the tissues are not modified as a consequence of the violation, unlike cooking where the item is modified, so perhaps one may use the tissues? The *Chayei Adam* ⁴ used this distinction to differentiate between *melachos* but concludes that one must be stringent with respect to an *issur d'oraisso*, which means that Moish may not blow his nose with those tissues. A Rav should be asked.
- ❖ Yenta removed cold chicken with gravy and placed it directly onto a hotplate on Shabbos. *Halacha* is that the gravy may not be reheated on account of *bishul* ⁵ and if so done, may not be eaten. ⁶

³ First *Bi'ur Halacha* in *simon* 319.

⁴ See *Bi'ur Halacha simon* 318:1 אהח"ד.

⁵ It is possible that Sephardim may reheat the chicken because the gravy is in the minority, and as for

One may receive and distribute these weekly shiurim by calling or writing: Office 99 Rechov Bayit Vegan, Yerushalayim, Phone Numbers: U.S. and Canada 732-370-3344 Israel 972-3-616-6340 South Africa 076 187 1451 UK 44-020-8731-6666 Australia 61-296835626 Switzerland 0114143 333 0288
• e-mail: shabbosweekly@shemayisrael.com, or www.shemayisrael.com, weekly sponsorships are available as well.

If you would like to send a question to Rav Ostroff, you can write to him at shabbosweekly@shemayisrael.com.

Note: The purpose of this series is intended solely for the clarification of the topics discussed and not to render halachic decisions. It is intended to heighten everyone's awareness of important practical questions which do arise on this topic. One must consult with a proper halachic authority in order to receive p'sak.

Food For Thought

*Do the same rules apply to violating an *issur d'raban*?*

*When a non-religious person performs a *melacha* for himself or for a religious person, is the *melacha* deemed *meizid* or *shogeig*?*

*Must one wait the time of *bichdei she'ya'asu* (the time it takes to perform the *melacha*) after Shabbos before benefiting from the *melacha*?*

Answers coming next week.

Vort on the Parsha

A predominant method of modern psychology is to listen to a patient and show him that you really care and share his burden. The founder of that "theory" is no other than Moshe Rabeinu. Moshe Rabeinu told *B'nei Yisrael* that difficult subject matters should be brought before him and he will **listen**. He does not say that he will necessarily resolve their problem, but he will **listen** to their problem. This is true of all people. We all need to feel that someone out there cares and is interested in hearing our problems, even though it is possible that nothing can be done to resolve them. This is a basic human need and during the "nine days" it is a small token of *bein adam lechaveiro* we should try to implement.

Sponsored by ShemaYisrael UK.
For sponsorship, please email
ychody@shemayisrael.com

לזכר נשמת חנה בת ברוך

placing it directly onto a hotplate, some *poskim* permit it. A competent rav must be asked.

⁶ It is a *machlokes haposkim* whether reheating a cold liquid is an *issur d'oraisso* or *d'raban*. For the sake of the exercise we will assume it is *d'oraisso*.